

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

3 1761 06095628 1

CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION

CASES AND MATERIALS
VOLUME 1

PROFESSOR L. WEINRIB

SECOND TERM -- JAN TO APRIL 2006

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

THESE MATERIALS ARE REPRODUCED AS CLASS MATERIAL.

Storage

KE
4218.5
.W46
2006
v.1
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

JAN - 9 2006

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION


CASES AND MATERIALS
VOLUME 1

PROFESSOR L. WEINRIB

SECOND TERM -- JAN TO APRIL 2006

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

THESE MATERIALS ARE REPRODUCED AS CLASS MATERIAL.



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION
SECOND TERM – JANUARY TO APRIL 2006
TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1:	Page
1. <u>The Origins of Public Law Litigation</u>	
Mauro Cappelletti, “The Significance of Judicial Review of Legislation in the Contemporary World”	1
William N. Eskridge Jr., “Some Effects of Identity-Based Social Movements on Constitutional Law in the Twentieth Century”	11
2. <u>The Rights Revolution in the Post-WWII World of Liberal Democracy</u>	
Charles R. Epp, “The Conditions for the Rights Revolution: Theory”	22
C. Neil Tate, “Why the Expansion of Judicial Power?”	30
Torbjorn Vallinder, “The Judicialization of Politics – A World-wide Phenomenon: Introduction”	36
C. Neal Tate “Some Introductory Notes”	41
Alec Stone “The Birth and Development of Abstract Review: Constitutional Courts and Policymaking in Western Europe”	44
3. <u>Why the Courts?</u>	
Jim Brown, CNews, October 5, 2005 “Judges Not Power Hungry: McLachlin”	52
Joseph Fletcher and Paul Howe, “Public Opinion and Canada’s Courts”	54
Kirk Makin, Globe & Mail, April 6, 2002 “Judging the Charter” (4 part series)	76
W.F. Murphy et al, “Courts in Constitutional Democracies”	94
Lon Fuller, “The Two Basic Principles of Social Ordering”	103
Alexander Bickel, “The Counter-Majoritarian Difficulty”	110
4. <u>Process and Procedure</u>	
Abraham Chayes, “The Role of the Judge in Public Law Litigation”	119
Robert Charney and Lori Sterling, “Civil Procedure in Charter Litigation:	127
Ian Greene, Carl Baar et al, “The Supreme Court of Canada”	142
Eugene Meehan, “Overview of the Supreme Court of Canada Process”	159
Eugene Meehan, “Procedure for Stating Constitutional Questions”	174
Eugene Meehan, “Intervening in the Supreme Court of Canada”	180
<u>Rules:</u>	
Rules 52, 53, 54 – Motion Before the Court	185
Rules 55, 56, 57, 58, 59 – Motion for Intervention	186
Rules 60, 61 – Motion to State Constitutional Question	187
<u>Forms:</u>	
Form 61B, Notice of Intervention Respecting Constitutional Question	188
Form 61A, Notice of Constitutional Question	189
Form 52, Notice of Motion to the Court	190

Eugene Meehan, “Advocacy Issues in Charter Litigation: Basic Pointers and Precedents	191
Eugene Meehan, “Excellence in the Supreme Court”	193
Cristin Schmitz, “Supreme Court heard fewer cases in 2002, took longer to rule on leaves and appeals.....	194

5. Standing and Mootness

a) Standing

Michael J. Beloff, “Who Whom? Issues in <i>Locus Standi</i> in Public Law”.....	196
<i>Borowski v. A.G. Canada et al.</i> , [1989] S.C.R. 342, 57 D.L.R. (4th) 231	205
F.L. Morton, <i>Borowski and Morgentaler: Abortion, the Charter and the Courts</i> (Toronto: McClelland and Stewart, 1992)	208
<i>Canadian Council of Churches v. The Queen and the Minister of Employment and Immigration</i> , [1992] S.C.R. 236	216
<i>Canadian Egg Marketing Agency v. Pineview Poultry Products Ltd.</i> , 1998 Can. Sup. Ct. LEXIS 49, extract.....	223
<i>Vriend v. Alberta</i> [1998] 1 S.C.R. 493, extract.....	227
David W. Stratas, “What’s New in Charter Remedies”.....	230
“Tenth Circuit Denies Standing to Man Seeking Invalidation of Utah’s Consensual Sodomy Law”	231

b) Mootness

<i>Borowski v. Canada</i> [1989] S.C.R. 342, extract.....	240
<i>New Brunswick (Minister of Health and Community Services) v. G. (J.)</i> , [1999] 3 S.C.R. 46, extract	246
<i>Doucet-Boudreau v. Nova Scotia (Department of Education)</i> , [2003] 3 S.C.R. 3 ..	250

6. Intervention & Amicus Curiae

Kirk Makin, “Intervenors: How Many is Too Many?”.....	254
George Williams, “The Amicus Curiae and Intervener in the High Court of Australia: A Comparative Analysis	257
Lucy McSweeney, “Oral Submissions on Interventions in the Supreme Court of Canada: A Privilege, not a Right”	267
Gregory Hein, “Interest Group Litigation and Canadian Democracy”.....	271
F.L. Morton & Rainer Knopff, <i>The Charter Revolution and the Court Party</i> , chapters 3 & 4	292
Ian Brodie, <i>Friends of the Court: the privileging of interest group litigants in Canada</i>	324